

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_84822
Title of the Manuscript:	PREVALENCE OF JOINT HYPERMOBILITY IN ADOLESCENT FEMALES
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The term “selective hypermobility” is misleading and should be replaced by less severe, less dramatic, or average hypermobility. Selective hypermobility would be applied to patients having flexibility only in certain joints, like the large elbow/knee/hip joints (Beighton maneuvers 3, 4, and 5) or the small joints (Beighton maneuvers 1 and 2. No hypermobility is less objectionable but still misleading as maneuvers like the reverse Namaskar or prayer sign and others were not assessed. Perhaps patients with generalized, average and minimal hypermobility could be used?</p> <p>Alternatively, the authors do have the data on which Beighton maneuvers were performed by each subject, so they could use selective if certain subsets of patients with scores 1-4 were only able to do the large joint or only able to do the small joint maneuvers.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>I would eliminate the first table/figure that shows only those with some or no hypermobility and incorporate that data into the following tables or figures..</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>This is a well-researched article that details the important characteristic of generalized hypermobility in an as yet unmeasured Asian Indian population. Drawing attention to hypermobility is extremely important as it can cause many complications including the joint instability and injuries that the authors mention. The methodology is accurate via use of the Beighton scale and the ≥ 5 cut-off reasonable based on prior studies. It would be nice if the authors mention the neurologic, urogenital, and autonomic complications that can arise with hypermobility (see the EDS awareness website or others) as these, like the joint complications, can be prevented or ameliorated if the hypermobility is recognized.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Golder N Wilson
Department, University & Country	Texas Tech University, USA