

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_84232
Title of the Manuscript:	Health Consequences of Obesity: A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. The references of the article (numerical chronology and citation style) should be further updated.	
Minor REVISION comments	2. Abstract should be more précised, please try to avoid repetition of sentences in abstract that were already existed in the main text. 3. Add “Key words” at the bottom of the abstract 4. There were some English mistakes and expression errors needed to be checked and revised.	
Optional/General comments	<p>The topic of this manuscript “Health Consequences of Obesity: A Review” is attractive and unique in its kind. The manuscript is well accordant with the scope of this journal.</p> <p>The abstract and introduction section - presented the brief summary and purpose of this review article. Pathogenesis of obesity was described precisely. Obesity and its biological effects were well explained in different sub sections. Different aspects of obesity prevention were very well represented.</p> <p>A very interesting review article, with all necessary information regarding obesity is given and the manuscript is well-written. I appreciate the efforts of the author(s) in gathering and presenting the information in an easily understandable manner using different sub sections. It is a worthy review article and can be very useful for the researchers working in this field. I suggest publishing this review article in present form with these minor correction(s).</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	A. K. M Shahidur Rahman
Department, University & Country	Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University (BSMMU), Bangladesh