Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_84172
Title of the Manuscript:	QbD Approach: A Framework for Integrating Quality into Pharmaceutical Products
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and
		highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		This/her reedback here)
	 The abstract must be categorized into background, introduction, objective, result and conclusion. The main conclusion statement is missing from the abstract. Please include particularly showing the relevance of the study. In the manuscript, the authors don't cite latest references. As there are lots of articles published in the last year (2021) related to that topic. So, the authors should add some of the latest references such as Deepika Purohit, Manisha Saini, Parijat Pandey, Swagat Tripathy and Harish Dureja. Implementation of Quality by Design: A Review. Applied Clinical Research, Clinical Trials and Regulatory Affairs. 2019;6(2):99-111. In Table 1 - A summary of the components of QbD as specified by various regulatory guidelines, there is no information after the year 2014, so there is need to update the table. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
	 Please be precise when using abbreviations. Be sure to present the full spelling the first time and once abbreviated then use the abbreviation throughout the manuscript. 	
Optional/General comments	Please check the manuscript for grammatical errors. Please ensure that the references have been according to the journal specifications.	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Parijat Pandey
Department, University & Country	Gurugram University, India

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)