

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_84172
Title of the Manuscript:	QbD Approach: A Framework for Integrating Quality into Pharmaceutical Products
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>However, authors need to value the work in an academic writing logic. The subsidies presented by the referential are reasonably good (only 29 references). The sources are from 1992 to 2013. It is necessary that after the introduction, the objective and methods of the present study are inserted. What is the methodology applied (descriptive, exploratory, experimental, case study, etc.) to reach the objective(s) presented. Subsequently, in the results obtained (in items 2, 3 and 4), explain your comments in the conclusion.</p> <p>It is necessary that after the introduction, the objective and methods of the present study are inserted. What is the methodology applied (descriptive, exploratory, experimental, case study, etc.) to reach the objective(s) presented. Subsequently, in the results obtained (in items 2, 3 and 4), explain your comments in the conclusion</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>Given the amount of information and contributions presented in the manuscript, it is imperative that the conclusion be deepened. It's very poor. What conclusions were obtained in relation to the proposed objectives and the method used? Present perspectives for future work and contribution to QbD companies</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>The manuscript addresses the topic "QbD Approach: A Framework for Integrating Quality into Pharmaceutical Products". It is a relevant topic for reflection and contribution to science.</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jorge Lima de Magalhães
Department, University & Country	Brazil