

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_83860
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of chronic kidney disease on handgrip strength: a cross-sectional study in Ha'il, Saudi Arabia
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	In the Abstract portion, the results of the study are not in line with the aim of the study. Methods:3 absolute HGS values measured but divided by 2 to average. Results: There is no mention of eGFR, but discussion mentions it. Figure 1 has no labelling for the X and y axis It is not clear what is being compared. Conclusion: The current study cannot conclude that relative HGS is a cost effective method to detect chronic kidney disease.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	There are many grammatical mistakes in the Abstract. They may be confusing to the reader.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	B Nanda
Department, University & Country	India