Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JPRI_83747**
Title of the Manuscript:	**The Effect of Binaural Beats on Preoperative Anxiety among Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting Patients**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Innovative Study** **I would like to make following comments.** **1. How the sample size calculated was not clear.** **Kindly be clear.** **2.binaural beats -what are they not explained, so that the reader will be knowing regarding it (who is new to the topic)** **3.CABG surgeries? – explain** **4.fiberoptic bronchoscopy considered as surgery?** **5. the study was done in patients undergoing CABG surgery or all types of CT surgery?** **6. Effect of the therapy on different surgeries – as the anxiety might not be the same for each surgery. CABG has different mortality compared to Valve replacement.** **Off pump CABG has different mortality compared to beating heart surgery.** **7.the underlined highlighted sentences to be rephrased and make it clear.** **8.why there was increase in SBP in intervention group.** **9.In discussion the DBP was mentioned as decreased in intervention group, whereas it is not the same in table.** **10.how the results are interpreted as significant – when the response to questionnaire is subjective. Patient might have said no anxiety even though he is anxious (this statement is supported by the change in values of blood pressure which were not significant when compared between the groups, rather intragroup)** **11. limitations of the study.** **12. comparison to previous studies?** **13. how binaural beats will relieve anxiety – physiology behind musical therapy to be mentioned.** **14. methodology to be in detail.** **15. the discussion came to an end abruptly** **16. conclusion is not informative – to be rewritten.**	
Minor REVISION comments	Rewrite the manuscript to keep it engaging till the end.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Nagula Praveen**
Department, University & Country	**Osmania General Hospital, India**