Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JPRI_83592**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Assessment of knowledge, attitude and practice towards caffeinated products consumption**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Title- Author may add – "Assessment of knowledge----------among ----------population" to make it more informative** **Abstract – Author may kindly limit the words to 300 and make it structured. Lot of grammatical errors observed, author may kindly correct this (highlighted)** **Key words- repeated words to be avoided** **Introduction- No subheadings required. It should be precise and describe the rationale of study. Author may start with problem statement and in a flow describe the attitudes in different age groups, it's consequences and impact. Grammatical errors need correction.** **Aim and objectives – should be written separately** **Material and Methods- sampling technique not mentioned. How the questionnaire was validated? What about the assessment of knowledge? Author to please clarify. Author has not used any statistical test to find the correlations, author may kindly consider this.** **Results and Discussion- May be written separately. Description may be given below the table. Many grammatical errors. Discussion may be done separately and sequentially. Intext references may be cited correctly (refer to journal guidelines). More references from recent studies (last 5 years) may be added in place of old ones. Grammatical errors need corrections.** **Conclusion- may be specific and concrete. It should include the answers to aim and objectives of the study, author may revise this and give important recommendations.** **References- Author may kindly follow the journal guidelines/ Vancouver style of referencing for citing references- both intext as well as in the end. For e.g. page no. may be written as 56-7 instead of 56-57.**	
Minor REVISION comments	Many grammatical errors in the manuscript, author may kindly look into this	
Optional/General comments	Ethical clearance – from the institutional ethical committee, how author has cited reference to this, please clarify.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Manisha Nagpal**
Department, University & Country	**SGRDUHS, India**