Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_83559
Title of the Manuscript:	Design and Molecular Docking Studies of 1H-Benzo[b][1,5]diazepine-2(3H)-one Derivatives
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		,
Minor REVISION comments	Interaction energy is calculated in terms of fit score, Scoring functions are quick approximate mathematical methods used to predict the	
	strength of the non-covalent interaction between two molecules after they have been fitted	
	The connection between the first part and the second part was not clear. The first part reports how the interaction energy is calculated and the second part explains how the interaction is predicted after fitting. Make it clear that there are two distinct steps, so that all readers can have clarity.	
	estimate the energy of the low(negative) Space after comma	
	Ethosuximide,gabapentine respectivelySpace after comma	
	The PDB structures [1KJT] Add the description in parentheses making it clear that 1KJT is the "Crystal Structure of GABA(A) Receptor Associated Protein."	
	The vander walls , H-bonding and hydrophobicReplace whitVan der Walls throughout the text force	
	Pattern on text Dock Score or dock score	
	Change in tables ∆G (Kcal/mol) by kcal/mol	
Optional/General comments	I am of assent after the minor revisions	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tatiane Santi Gadelha	-
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Paraiba, Brazil	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)