

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_83469
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of Job-Related Injuries among Construction Workers in Saudi Arabia
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>When reading the whole study I found some issues detailed below -</p> <p>1. In abstract:</p> <p>a. Write as it as was observed that instead of it demonstrated 75.20%</p> <p>2. Introduction:</p> <p>a. In the second paragraph use word selected instead of conducted.</p> <p>3. Materials and Methods:</p> <p>a) We cannot use I, We, You in any research work.</p> <p>b) The sample collected from 18 to 60 years. It should be categorized as 20 to 40 years, 41 – 60 years. MSI is not same for respondents of 18 years and 60 years.</p> <p>4. Results:</p> <p>a. In result ... rewrite it as Table1: Distribution of respondents according to prevalence and severity of pain during the last 6 months instead of prevalence and severity of pain during the last 6 months</p> <p>b. Making of Table format is not accurate one. Sample size should be written in upper part of the Table in right side not inside the Table.</p> <p>c. In Table there should be two separate columns for Frequency and Percentage.</p> <p>d. In Table 2. Demographic profile of the respondentsInstead of demographics.</p> <p>e. Interpretation is required in every Table.</p> <p>f. Table Heading and format should be changed.</p> <p>g. In case of Fig heading should be below the Fig.</p> <p>h. In the study participation respondents in sports was calculated and there is no relationshipinstead of we calculated sports participation and found no relationship with injury.</p> <p>i. Rewrite the table heading in Table 3 as distribution of respondents according to job factor association with pain..... instead of Job factors commonly associated with pain.</p> <p>5. DISCUSSION:</p> <p>a. The study provideinstead of my study.</p> <p>b. Response rate showed thatinstead of our response</p>	

Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments	<div>1. Results: a) Interpretation is required for Table -3.</div> <div>2. Discussion: b) In first paragraph of discussion, last line may be changed.</div> <div>3. References: a. Follow the reference from standard Journals. b. Using both Capital and small letters. It should be small letters.</div>	
Optional/General comments	Acceptable for publication after proper modification with this revision.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mira Kalita
Department, University & Country	Assam Agricultural University, India