

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_83427
Title of the Manuscript:	Design and Synthesis of Mannich Bases derivatives of Thiosemicarbazide and its evaluation of Anticancer Activity of by Potato Disk Bioassay Method
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The literature cited is relevant to the study, but there are several instances, where the author makes claims without mentioning them with references.</p> <p>To better present the abstract for this manuscript I would recommend the author to reword the introduction, material, and method</p> <p>Please use the reference to quote this statement mentioned in the introduction to be true "It is rough estimate that by 2021 the world population suffering from cancer would be 7.5 billion or more, with a prediction that on an average 15.0 million new cancer cases will be diagnosed, with about 12.0 million deaths every year."</p> <p>Last sentence in introduction needs the use of strong words in order to highlight the aim of this experiment</p> <p>Did the author did dilution studies to determine the correct concentration of synthesized mannich bases of thiosemicarbazide?</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>The tittle "Design and Synthesis of Mannich Bases derivatives of Thiosemicarbazide and its evaluation of Anticancer Activity of by Potato Disk Bioassay Method" needs a grammatical correction highlighted in bold</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>I think the paper could prove to be very interesting and useful to a very large audience but The English in the present manuscript is not of publication quality and require major improvement.</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zeineen Momin
Department, University & Country	Baylor College of Medicine, USA