

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_83309
Title of the Manuscript:	BISPHOSPHONATE INDUCED OSTEONECROSIS OF THE JAW IN RENAL SARCINODOSIS – A CASE REPORT
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>BISPHOSPHONATES INDUCED OSTEONECROSIS OF THE JAW IN RENAL SARCOIDOSIS-A CASE REPORT</p> <p>This is an interesting, though not uncommon, side effect of bisphosphonates. The paper is written in a very confusing way and would need some adjustments for better understanding</p> <p>Introduction</p> <p>The following sentence needs to be rewritten: The intravenously administered bisphosphonates show highest prevalence of BIOJN it is about</p> <p>In the introduction, it would be interesting to point out whether any of these drugs would have a higher or lower prevalence in BIONJ</p> <p>Case report</p> <p>The following phrase: To regulate sérum calcium level.....should be rewritten, because it is difficult to understand.</p> <p>Laboratory values were missing in the case, especially in relation to total calcium, ionized calcium, blood count, urea, creatinine, etc.</p> <p>Discussion</p> <p>At the end of the discussion, stages (?) of BIONJ were placed, and then treatment? Are they tables? Are they placed in the discussion?</p> <p>Does zoledronic acid cause this injury more often than other bisphosphonates?</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Written English needs to be improved	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Miguel Moyses Neto
Department, University & Country	Sao Paulo University, Brazil