Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_83293
Title of the Manuscript:	Attitude and mental health effects related to COVID 19 in Dental patients.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1.Define the variables clearly some place it is psychological disorder, mental effect and patient behaviour. Author should make it univocal 2.Study is about patients attitude the type of scale used is made by the author was it tested and some reliability identified give clarity in the methodology 3.related behaviours the author says but the questionnaire presented does not give clarity 4.It is not a cross sectional study rather a descriptive study-only one OPD population is taken same organisation 5.Patients were illiterate also so how did they read and fill? 6.Attitude is different from awareness what was actually measure? 7.Should avoid commenting on personal pretentions 8.The study did not measure anxiety. 9.Cannot generalise the findings just with this study so recommendation should flow in making the patient be aware and alleviate fear and anxiety during the procedure. 10.If there was a observer then why there were incomplete forms and attrition in samples? Explain 11.Fear of covid or emotion. Need to make it clearer and more univocal. many interpretations and many words. 12.No research is still evident on mortality only due to covid. So can rephrase that. This may cause fear to the patients	
Minor REVISION comments	a. Study is on patient review to be on patient related findings rather than dentist related issues b. mean, SD not universal abbreviation c. If researcher was there how this happened? d.11illiterates how they filled the questionnaire? an give clarity on inclusion /exclusion criteria of sample selection e. Header, footer including sample size for all tables to be made. F. Looks like breach of covid SOP.can avoid such variables g. All covid 19 death does not happen? h. Should make it univocal. i. Year of research work	
Optional/General comments	Can present the recommendations and future direction of the study.	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sharadha Ramesh
Department, University & Country	Symbiosis International University, India

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)