Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JPRI_82777**
Title of the Manuscript:	**IN HOSPITAL MORTALITY AND ADVERSE EVENTS IN HIGH-RISK PATIENTS UNDERGOING PRIMARY PERCUTANEOUS CORONARY INTERVENTION FOR ST ELEVATION MYOCARDIAL INFARCTION**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. The goal of this article should be clearly specified in the introduction. 2. There are many serious grammar mistakes for example in the materials and methods part" - line 6 - "either gender were included" - it should both instead of either. 3. In the part of materials and methods, you should provide information whether the analysied group of patietns is representative for all population of people with such kind of deseaes or not; also some more information about Karachi should be provided – what is the total population and how large it is and some comparision to the rest of countries should be provided ; readers should get information about representativness or not of Karachi to the rest of country. Of course, the aspect of representativness is mentioned in the discussion part at the end, but it should be presented also in the methodological part as well. 4. Results part – results presented in the tables from 2 to 4 should be seperately analysied it can not be shortened to one sentence that"The detailed results of associations are presented from Table-2 to Table-4. 5. Discussion – it is strange to start discussion part with providing the goal of article: " The goal of this study was to find out how often in-hospital mortality and adverse events were in high-risk patients undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention for ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction (STEMI)." Rather you should provide statement that you proofed sth, you achieved etc. 6. Discussion – there is no information about pratical aspect of you research and also future directions of your research. What is the application of your research? 7. References / literature – very old; there is one from 2018, one from 2017 and one from 2015, four from 2013 and the rest is older then 10 years; it matters as you should present fresh research of other and also to make the appropraite discussion with the results of others 8. Not all abbreviations are explained as for example: "ST elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI)" - what ST means ? Primary PCI – what does PCI mean ?	
Minor REVISION comments	----------	
Optional/General comments	----------	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Justyna Rój**
Department, University & Country	**The Poznań University of Economics and Business, Poland**