Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JPRI_82703**
Title of the Manuscript:	**CAUSES AND RISK FACTORS OF COCCYDYNIA IN POSTPARTUM WOMEN IN DHQ SARGODHA**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*

Compulsory REVISION comments	• **Abstract:**
	  ○ the objective of the study is repeated
	• **Introduction:**
	  ○ Too long.
	  ○ There is no objective of the study: where's the question of your research.
	• **Material & methods:**
	  ○ Too short
	  ○ Much of the information found in the "results" does not exist in this chapter
	  ○ self developed questionnaire:
	  ▪ Need more information about it: what are the Items, is it a validated questionnaire...
	  ○ "*a convenient sampling technique was used*":
	  ▪ What does it mean? I'm not sure you need "a sampling technique" as your research is an observational study.
	  ○ What's the delay between the PP and your study? You seem did it immediately after delivery: "*the questionnaires were filled at the spot*"
	  ○ What are the statistical tools?
	• **Results:**
	  ○ Don't begin results by the statistical tool used
	  ○ Your results are mixed up
	  ○ No links between the text and the tables
	  ○ How did you evaluate the severity of pain"
	  ○ On table 3:
	  ▪ The number of ***NONE*** pregnancies among participants is ***1***: note that in MM you included only women in the post partum!!!
	  ▪ What is the difference between ***Normal delivery*** and ***C section***
	  ○ The aim of your study is to find the causes and risk factors of coccydynia among your population: you only gave the causes. For the risk factors you have to make an univariate analysis and than a multivariate analysis.
	• **Discussion:**
	  ○ Some results mentioned in your discussion, does not exist in the chapter "Results".
	• **Conclusion:**
	  ○ Does not match well with the results of the manuscript
	• **References:**
	  ○ Pay attention to the citation number: 1-3-16-17-18	

Minor REVISION comments	• Avoid beginning sentences with numbers: write them in letters • In the chapter **discussion**, you don't discuss your results, you only report clinical studies • Some citations are very old: 1950-1959-1963-1983-1995...	
Optional/General comments	• Take better care to the redaction of the manuscript • Some parts of the manuscript are confused • Chapters of the manuscript are not balanced	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Hosni Khouadja**
Department, University & Country	**Université Du Centre, Tunisia**