

**Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_82637
Title of the Manuscript:	Revascularization Using Lesion Sterilization and Tissue Repair: A Case Report.
Type of the Article	Case study

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• change to “has proved”</li><li>• Requires editing as LSTR apexification is time taking in comparison to single visit apical barrier technique</li><li>• full form initially to help readers</li><li>• cut short words as most readers will be aware of preparation and give more emphasis on clinical technique advantages and disadvantages</li><li>• what test gave what results need to be documented.</li><li>• In discussion add the suitable test for checking vitality in immature permanent teeth which is of great importance to the clinician.</li><li>• explain why revascularization was choic in comparison to others in deail</li><li>• check whether this is required in young permanent teeth to make retaining cavities as the young permanent teeth already have thin dentinal walls. Apart from radiographic sign, what other methods were used by authors to confirm revitalization</li></ul>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	Grammar revision	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Cheranjeevi Jayam
Department, University & Country	AIIMS Mangalagiri, India