

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_82566
Title of the Manuscript:	Marginal Bone Level and Quality Evaluation Using CBCT After Functional Loading Around Dental Implant
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>You satated in the conclusion part “After all the evaluation and the relative comparisons with other relevant studies, it can be concluded that”</p> <p>The chapter of conclusions is for your study. Comparisons with other studies are part of the discussion chapter I suggest you rewrite the conclusions</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Should it be specified how the primary stability of the implants was verified.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Why “All patients received antibiotic prophylaxis (2g of amoxicillin 1 hour prior to surgery)” Do you have a reference for this recommendation?	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mona Ionas
Department, University & Country	University Lucian Blaga of Sibiu, Romania