

**Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_81962
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge Attitude and Practice of Dental Practitioners towards Palliative Dental Care: A Cross-Sectional Questionnaire Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>I did not do a technical and content review of the article as I saw a few important errors.</p> <p>The most important of them are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The similarity rate of the article was well above the acceptable limit. I have attached the relevant document. The article needs serious revision.</li><li>2. References and citations in the text are not written according to journal rules.</li><li>3. The main heads and general template of the article were not created according to the journal rules.</li><li>4. The authors have mentioned the ethics committee in the text, it is more correct to upload the ethics committee permission document to the system.</li></ol> <p>Although the subject studied is interesting, I can evaluate the article in terms of scientific and content after it has undergone some serious revisions and rewritten according to the rules I have stated above. Unfortunately, it is not correct to evaluate it as it is.</p>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<p><i><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></i></p> <p>Yes, This study is a survey study conducted with human participation. The authors mentioned in the text that the ethics report was received. This report should be shared with your journal and recorded.</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alper Ozdogan
Department, University & Country	Atatürk University, Turkey