

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_81800
Title of the Manuscript:	ANALYSIS OF BLEEDING AND CLOTTING TIME AMONG PATIENTS VISITING A PRIVATE DENTAL HOSPITAL - An Institutional study
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I complement the authors for making efforts for collecting data of more than 3000 patients. But how they calculated this sample size is not mentioned in the manuscript. Moreover, I failed to understand the rationale for this study. In the introduction itself it is duly mentioned that females have longer bleeding and clotting times then what was the need for collecting such a sample and reaching the same conclusion at the end.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Neha Sikka
Department, University & Country	Post Graduate Institute of Dental Sciences Rohtak, India