

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_81799
Title of the Manuscript:	PREVALENCE OF CHRONIC PULPITIS AMONG 13 TO 17 YEAR OLD PEDIATRIC PATIENTS VISITING PRIVATE DENTAL INSTITUTION IN CHENNAI - A RETROSPECTIVE STUDY
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The running title must have fewer words than the main title.</p> <p>The abstract conclusion is incomplete.</p> <p>The keywords must be reviewed. The author can use databases to verify the better keywords available. (Here is an example of database that the author can use https://meshb.nlm.nih.gov/search)</p> <p>There are some sentences in the introduction that present gaps of reference.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>The references need review. There are some pages that are incorrect.</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>It would improve the reader experience and, consequently the quality of the article, if the author could write the introduction in more paragraphs. Doing the introduction with only one paragraph make the reading heavy.</p> <p>The article has too many references. Try to limit the references around the 30 more relevant.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Victor Cavallaro Bottesini
Department, University & Country	São Paulo State University (Unesp), Brazil