

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JPRI_81570</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>MENTAL HEALTH DISORDERS DURING COVID-19 PANDEMIC: A REVIEW</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

## Review Form 1.6

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>I would like to see the first paragraph of the <b>mental health of health care workers</b>, in page 5 referenced in literature.</p> <p>How about putting <b>Stimulants</b> and <b>Depressants</b> already as branches under <b>Somatic Treatment</b>, in the graphic at page 6.</p>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>In ABSTRACT:</p> <p>Already in the initial summary, I noticed perhaps the lack of a word, “these ailments affect a person’s capability to judge a situation as well as his <b>capability</b>...” The capability of what? or was the intention to say "<b>capability</b>" in general?</p> <p>How about using the term <b>perceptible</b> instead of <b>visible</b> ?</p> <p>In the first lines of introduction there was a misspelling error: “<b>acknowledge</b>” and also “<b>responce</b>”</p> <p>Second paragraph of Introduction, another misspelling error: <b>wellbeing</b></p> <p>Third paragraph of Introduction: is the use of words: “<b>creating a better world</b>” really necessary?</p> <p>In page 5, in the citation: “Therefore, patients should be a little humbler and cooperative with doctors.” I would like to see it referenced in literature.</p> <p>Are you sure “<b>Humble</b>” is a good word in this scenario?</p>	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	<p>The theme is prevalent and highly insidious in society, not only in India but also in Brazil.</p> <p>The title clearly and sufficiently reflects the content of the manuscript, as well as the Abstract and the Introduction.</p> <p>The article provided adequate justification of why the matter is worthy of study and also further study.</p> <p>The discussion is well articulated.</p> <p>The conclusion expresses considerations about the theoretical or practical implications of the results and presents a contribution of the study to the advancement of scientific knowledge.</p> <p>There is a good theoretical framework and the references are pertinent, adequate for the purpose of the study.</p> <p>The perception of the increase in mental disorders even among mental health experts was excellent and totally relatable to COVID-19 pandemic.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Gustav Oscar Dumke</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Federal Institute of Education, Science and Technology, Brazil</b>