

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_81567
Title of the Manuscript:	AWARENESS ON IMPORTANCE OF IMMUNE BOOSTING DIET AMONG DENTAL STUDENTS- A SURVEY
Type of the Article	Original research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The authors have reported a current issue regarding the knowledge of students about how the diet affect immune response. However, the manuscript needs to be thoroughly revised.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Abstract: Change as the following suggestion2. Introduction: The objective of the study is to investigate the knowledge of student so the introduction should organize in the proper way:<ul style="list-style-type: none">- The effect of diet on immune system- Other studies on the knowledge of students on this issue- The knowledge gap (what has not studied, and your study fits with the gap)3. Methods: reference of the questionnaire (where it comes from, if the authors develop the questionnaire, how the questionnaire has validated), how you choose the samples, what program used to manage the data<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Define what is right/wrong knowledge in each categories and total categories4. Results<ul style="list-style-type: none">- The results of each categories in terms of right/wrong knowledge- The results of total knowledge right/wrong- The difference and association (analyzed using logistic regression) between right/wrong knowledge and the gender...5. Discussion<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Discuss regarding whether students have right/wrong knowledge and compare to other published papers- Discuss the association and compared to others publications6. Conclusion<ul style="list-style-type: none">- As the results suggested	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dang Vu Phuong Linh
Department, University & Country	Dai Nam University, Vietnam