

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_81499
Title of the Manuscript:	STUDY OF SOME HERBAL FORMULATIONS AVAILABLE FOR RHEUMATOID ARTHRITIS TREATMENT IN INDIAN MARKET
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>These kinds of reviews are lately increasing just collecting references without any authors concrete views, I always wonder if it is a scientific paper or essay? It does not contribute to science field but to ordinary citizens who have interest to this field.</p> <p>More revision is required to make fascinating paper by enforcing on contents citing some table and figure. I am interested in the India traditional medicines against intractable diseases and expecting much to develop effective therapy from herbal materials in future.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jin-Ichi Sasaki
Department, University & Country	Hirosaki University School of Health Sciences, Japan