Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_81332
Title of the Manuscript:	Nano-medicine in General medicine
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and
		highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
Compulsory DEVICION comments		his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
	Introduction should be précised and references cited as appropriate Methodology: Study should state clearly what methods and materials used. How the collected articles are reviewed. Results/Observations and discussions: This should be able to state clearly the results obtained from the review in view to: - application of nanotechnology into medicine, diagnosis, Pharmacological/Therapeutic and Surgery - advantages of nanomaterials used against other materials in m Conclusion: Should state what the review discovered with recommendation for the best approach of nanomedicine to human benefit.	
Minor REVISION comments		
	Follow author's guidelines Revision in YELLOW and suggestions in RED	
Optional/General comments	The use of nanomarterial in medicine has been the field of exploration. The authors in relation to nanotechnology application in medicine have shown that better resolutions in treatment can be achieved.	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ramyil Mamzhi Seljul Crown
Department, University & Country	Bingham University, Jos Campus, Nigeria

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)