Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JPRI_81306**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Review on COVID-19: A Pandemic Situation**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy)

Review Form 1.6

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*

Compulsory REVISION comments	1. **please include in the abstract like:**
	   a. what type of research? such as literature review or others.
	   b. What data analysis technique were used? for example interactive data analysis techniques from miles and huberman or other types.
	   c. what data collection techniques were used?
	   d. What conclusions can be drawn from the study?
	   e. what contribution can be made from this study?
	
	2. **pay attention to the journal template.**
	3. **on article keywords, use a maximum of 5 keywords**
	4. **please, researchers pay attention to the journal template. such as:**
	   a. **writing references in paragraphs.**
	   b. **bibliography writing (such as the use of APA style and alphabetical arrangement of names)**
	5. **In the findings and discussion section, it should explain the results of the research first before conducting the discussion**	

Minor REVISION comments	1. The last paragraph in the introduction should explain the research objectives and research focus. 2. for data, it is better to use tables. 3. at points the results have not shown sharper research results. 4. in the discussion should sharpen the findings by linking theories or findings in previous research. and what things are discussed in this study. in the discussion not only concerns the findings of previous research.	
Optional/General comments	1. in general the article is good, coherent, and interesting 2. interesting and latest topics	

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Indah Suciati**
Department, University & Country	**Alkhairaat University, Indonesia**