

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_81212
Title of the Manuscript:	Microwave Assisted Synthesis, Characterization and Evaluation for Antimicrobial Activity of Novel 1, 5-Benzothiazepines
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript presents an interesting proposal, the introduction and the methodology addresses them in a clear and pertinent way. However, in the next section (results and discussion) there is no discussion whatsoever. It must be remembered that discussion is a fundamental part of any research work; In it, the results obtained are correlated with the results of other articles or publications, so that in this way, the results are supported and the importance of the same is shown more clearly.</p> <p>For example, discuss why there is greater antibacterial activity with molecules that carry electron-releasing groups, the same with antifungal molecules.</p> <p>Finally, it would also be pertinent to mention possible structural modifications that could allow a greater biological activity.</p>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>Homogenize the symbols for milliliters, milligrams, etc. since in some occasions they are placed as ml and in others as mL, in addition, these always go after a space.</p> <p>Check your word processor's spell checker as there are some suggestions that are pertinent.</p>	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Carlos Alberto Lobato Tapia
Department, University & Country	Universidad de las Américas Puebla, México