

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_81116
Title of the Manuscript:	OCULAR DUPLEX DOPPLER SONOGRAPHY AND ITS ROLE IN IN EARLY DIAGNOSIS OF DIABETIC RETINOPATHY
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<div><div>1</div>Discussion lack of references.</div> <div><div>2</div>Provide more comparisons with previous studies and give their references in the “discussion” section.</div> <div><div>3</div>Explain the utility of the findings of this study. It is not clear the usefulness of Doppler sonography in diabetic retinopathy. What can it add to fundus examination? How can it help the clinician? Why this technique should be performed in daily diabetic patients’ examination? Why fundus examination is not enough?</div> <div><div>4</div>Doppler sonography is difficult to perform and requires an expensive instrument, while fundus examinations requires just a slit lamp and a 90D lens. Why an Hospital should buy this instrument?</div> <div><div>5</div>Explain in which cases a sonography should be performed in daily routine.</div> <div><div>6</div>Explain future perspectives.</div> <div><div>7</div>It is not clear the usefulness of doppler sonography in diabetic retinopathy. What are the advantages?</div>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<div><div>1</div>Correct some English language errors (corrections provides in the paper sent in return).</div> <div><div>2</div>Move chart 1 to “results” section.</div>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Marianna Berton
Department, University & Country	Italy