

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80933
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence and patterns of sport supplements use among gym attendants and competitive athletes in North Cyprus
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>I would firstly like to suggest to include the questionnaire in the Appendix.</p> <p>Secondly, are there any questions repeated but rephrased so as to check for the reliability and the validity of the results? Further, do any questions cover the reasons why some people do not use such supplements and/or what do they do to boost/sustain their performance in sports? Why people revert to friends and non-professionals for such advice? How can the authors demonstrate that their results reflect the reality?</p> <p>The authors indicate that their results are non-compatible with the literature. Is it possible that the respondents were not reporting the use of supplements because of other reasons? Is the sample random? How is the randomness of the sample being established?</p> <p>The sample is big enough, assuming that the distribution is Normal – best to test for Normality though- there are a number of more robust statistical techniques that can be applied including Multivariate Analysis, correlations etc.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>There are fundamental methodological issues of concern in this research including the questionnaire design and the randomness of the sample. The size of the sample offers the authors the opportunity to apply a variety of parametric statistical techniques which are far more robust and multidimensional including multivariate data analysis.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sylvia Konstantinidou
Department, University & Country	University of Athens, Greece