

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80846
Title of the Manuscript:	Pattern Based Approach to the Interpretation of Skeletal Muscle Biopsies
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The article needs a major revision to document if the review article is to enable the authors to gather general guidelines that would provide understanding of the process of Pattern Based Approach to the Interpretation of Skeletal Muscle Biopsies and what to expect if appropriate investigations and management are not undertaken so that individuals would know what steps to take to readdress the situation as well as to seek redress of the situation or if the article is to provide information to medical team readers and if the latter is the case more detailed information would be required regarding the histopathology, immunohistochemistry and ultra-structural study findings of some specific cases and illustration of the pathology examination finding slides of specific examples would be more illustrative and would provide more useful meaning to the article. If you search further, you would see some illustrative figures in some published articles that have been published under the Creative Commons Agreement license that would allow you to include figures from their published articles provided to quote and reference the source of the figures. The standard of English should be improved to conform to the standard of written articles and a word-to-word copy of guidelines or explanation of points and questions to patient readers. See the corrections that I have made in your written article.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	See above	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anthony Kodzo-Grey Venyo
Department, University & Country	North Manchester General Hospital, United Kingdom