

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80720
Title of the Manuscript:	Malnutrition In Congenital Heart Disease
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The tile of the review should reflects in the content.</p> <p>Abstract should be an overview of the entire content. Here it's given as an introduction.</p> <p>Congenital Heart Disease should be abbreviated as CHD at the beginning itself.</p> <p>Conceptual part should be thoroughly justified and conveyed with supporting references.</p> <p>Continuity/ flow of the concept is missing</p> <p>Author should stick to scientific pattern of writing (avoid using words like “isn’t”, “practically speaking” etc).</p> <p>Common English grammatical errors (upper case at the beginning of a sentence).</p> <p>Punctuation and spacing should be taken care of.</p> <p>Cite references for concepts.</p> <p>Could not be considered as a review, as majority of the concepts have not been reviewed well.</p> <p>Author should stick to the concept of malnutrition and CHD and elaborate on them quoting supporting facts and ideas.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rakhee Rathnam Kalari Kandy
Department, University & Country	University of South Florida, USA