

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80566
Title of the Manuscript:	Postoperative Outcomes of Pterygium Excision Surgery with Autograft using Autologous Blood versus Conventional Sutures
Type of the Article	Original Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Use of autologous blood in pterygium surgery has been popular in recent years. There are several advantages of this method except postoperative graft displacement. These are well discussed in the manuscript. However neither larger study groups nor new results were addressed in the manuscript.	
Minor REVISION comments	The surgical method was not described in detail (for example the type of the suture material used) There are numerous grammar defects in English language Ethics approval was not declared Discussion is not enough References were not written uniformly	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zafer Onaran
Department, University & Country	Kırıkkale University, Turkey