

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80262
Title of the Manuscript:	A Stability Indicating RP-HPLC Method Validation for Simultaneous estimation of Metformin HCl, Dapagliflozin and Saxagliptin in pharmaceutical dosage form.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Aim of the research work was to develop and validate novel, rapid, sensitive, specific, robust stability indicating analytical method for simultaneous estimation of : Metformin HCl, Dapagliflozin and Saxagliptin in pharmaceutical dosage form as fixed dose formulation. The obligatory question is what is the practical usefulness of this validation method in the clinic? Or is it only a method to establish if the suggested dose is adequate? Is this only applicable to the laboratory? How long does this test take? how much blood does the patient require? These questions are not answered, it just says that it it can be utilize for routine or unknown sample analysis of assay of Metformin HCl, Dapagliflozin and Saxagliptin in pharmaceutical dosage form developed by various Pharmaceutical Industry</p> <p>A new, rapid, sensitive, specific and robust RP-HPLC method indicating stability for the fixed dose formulation of metformin, dapagliflozin and saxagliptin. New is possible, fast they do not explain why, the sensitivity, specificity and solidity are explained but the stability depends on the drug and the dose is established according to the stability, solubility, pharmacokinetics and dynamics, so the usefulness of the test is only for the laboratory that produces the drug and its recommendation regarding dosage and not for patients</p> <p>This should be explained in a better way, The great utility of this test is to establish safety in the doses, and they should guide the work in that direction.</p> <p>some bibliographic references should be improved in order to locate them</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	improve graphic	
Optional/General comments	Maybe they should reorganize the writing in terms of its items and make a more precise conclusions or discussion.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ricardo Leon Sanchez Consuegra
Department, University & Country	Universidad Libre Seccional, Colombia