Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80104
	Determination of spectrum of symptoms observed followed by administration of 1st and 2nd doses of COVISHIELD™ vaccine in Datta Meghe Institute of Medical Sciences (deemed to be university) – A Study Protocol
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	 In both abstract and main text the content of the section Expected outcome is not making any sense. Be specific about what you want as outcome after a 5 year follow up of tracking the symptoms and how to make sure that the symptoms are vaccine induced or not Considering the follow up period, please explain how will you deal with the obvious recall bias. Kindly explain how vaccination against Covid 19 and the disease itself are expected to remain relevant 5 years from now. A shorter follow up period 1 year or an year and a half at the most could bring about more relevant findings. If the aim is to counter rumours against covishield vaccination then a 5 yr period indeed too long. Authors are requested to justify the follow period 	
Minor REVISION comments	 In the Aim section of Abstract, better not to mention the name of the institution and instead write in a tertiary care health institute at such and such place In abstract: "Follow up will be maintained" Cohort study is always analytical, so write prospective cohort study in study design 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and
		highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
		his/her feedback here)
	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?		

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shuvankar Mukherjee
Department, University & Country	Raiganj Government Medical College & Hospital, India

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)