#### **Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80051
Title of the Manuscript:	"Comparative evaluation of efficacy of modified Ashmaghnasveda (Stone Therapy) and Choornapindasveda in management of Katigraha (Lumbar spondylosis)"
Type of the Article	Study Protocol

#### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<a href="https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy">https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy</a>)

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In the development of the work, very precise terminology of Ayurveda Medicine is used, it is suggested to adapt the writing to promote reading fluency. Although the work develops the steps to follow, the results obtained are not displayed Improve the way you cite references	
Minor REVISION comments	Discussion will be done and conclusion(s) drawn according to the observations made in the case registration and follow up forms.  Trial Registration: IEC Ref No. MGACH/IEC/Oct2020/128 dated 10.10.2020,CTRI registration under process  This text is presented in the article, so it follows that this article should display the results obtained  It is suggested to complete the study with the same	
Optional/General comments		

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

## **Review Form 1.6**

# PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

## **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Vivian Aurelia Minnaard
Department, University & Country	Argentina

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)