## **Review Form 1.6**

| Journal Name:            | Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International |
|--------------------------|--|
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_JPRI_79944                                    |
| Title of the Manuscript: | IMPACT OF DIGITAL DEVICES ON MYOPIC INDIVIDUALS  |
| Type of the Article      | Review Article                                   |

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

|                              | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and                                   |
|------------------------------|---|---|
|                              |   | highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| Compulsory REVISION comments |   | Tils/fier Teedback fiere)   |
| - Compared y                 |   |   |
|                              | The content of this manuscript is very relevant. However, it needs a significant overhaul in  |   |
|                              | terms of data and citations to validate this review article.  |   |
|                              | The methodology of the study needs to be more defined such as the specific procedures or techniques used to identify, select, process, and analyse information about a topic. It should allow the reader to critically evaluate a study's overall validity and reliability. |   |
|                              | Both Fig 1 & 2 need to be referenced & cited properly. We also might need an approval from the picture source such as Statista 2021 to avoid any copyright issues in the future.  |   |
|                              | The result section of the manuscript needs more content to define the outcome of the review.  |   |
| Minor REVISION comments      |   |   |
|                              |   |   |
|                              | A lot of grammar, syntax, and punctuation errors that need to be addressed.   |   |
|                              | I would recommend getting the manuscript reviewed by a colleague or mentor for accuracy.  |   |
| 2                            |   |   |
| Optional/General comments    |   |   |
|                              | Worth publishing if all the above comments and feedback are addressed.  |   |
|                              | 11% Plagiarism, but within acceptable margin  |   |
|                              |   |   |

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

## **Review Form 1.6**

# PART 2:

|  |   | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) |  |

## **Reviewer Details:**

| Name:                            | Nishant Renu                        |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Department, University & Country | Westcliff University, United States |

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)