Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JPRI_79725**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Isolation of active molecules from the stems of passion vine**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Dear Publisher,** The Manuscript entitled " **Isolation of active molecules from the stems of passion vine**", has been reviewed, and can be considered for publication in the journal after the following major revision. The manuscript describes isolation of active molecules from the stems of passion vine. In this study isolation and identification of three constituents were studied. According to the literature, researches on the natural products and passion vine are active and significant subject. Thus, the study on the new subjects to improve the natural products chemistry is main goal in this area. COMMENTS TO AUTHOR: ******** 1. The format of title should be corrected according to the journal format. 2. The scientific discussion in the " RESULTS AND DISCUSSION" section is poor and there are any references in results and discussion. Cite useful references in this section and most definitely need to compare your results to other related results. 3. Original spectra should be transferred to supporting information. 4. Format of keywords should be corrected. 5. Abstract should be modified according to journal format. 6. The format of references at the end of the manuscript should be corrected according to the journal format. 7. Subtitles in the manuscript should be corrected according to the journal considerations. 8. The English language should be modifying. Yours sincerely	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Esmael Rostami**
Department, University & Country	**Payame Noor University, Iran**