

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79602
Title of the Manuscript:	Gene Editing: A double edged sword
Type of the Article	Essay

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>Dear Author/authors,</p> <p>The topic which you have chosen is a wonderful one, but it needs a major revision, I have already added the comments along with the manuscript. As per me, I have few additional comments and suggestions as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. There is no clear background of genome editing technologies mentioned.</li><li>2. Please create a connection ad flow within the essay, delete the random lines.</li><li>3. A suggested idea about the flow which should start with<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>a. What is genome editing technologies</li><li>b. Brief introduction of these technologies before CRISPR</li><li>c. Why they are not better than CRISPR?</li><li>d. Main reason why CRISPR is famous, include strong points in its favour scientifically and not philosophically.</li><li>e. Connect it with the work you want to explain, a brief about why other gene editing tools fail?</li></ol></li><li>4. In the conclusion, rewrite it and include future perspectives, so that the reader gets interested and tends to think what can be done more in this area. Please don't use I in conclusion.</li></ol>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sabita Yograj</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Govt Medical College, Kathua, India</b>