Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79601
Title of the Manuscript:	HERPES ZOSTER IN IMMUNODEPRESSED PATIENTS.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalipri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		,
	Dear Authors, I have read your manuscript with interest.	
	In my opinion, these are the adjustments which should be made to increase the value of your manuscript:	
	 Extensive editing of English language and style required. Please, write the latin words with italic font (<i>Herpesviridae</i>, etc.). There are misprints in the text, please check (e.g., Herpes zoster virus comes form the family of the Herpesviridae). Please, try to respect IMRaD structure of manuscript. Please, change all symptom or other criteria lists into a simple sentence form. Change "COVID19" to "COVID-19" and "SARSCoV2" to "SARS-CoV-2". Please, indicate the selecting articles methods for this manuscript. Add please study limitations. Try to homogenize References chapter, to be in the same style. The manuscript contains punctuation errors, please revise the text. Good luck! 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alexandr Ceasovschih
Department, University & Country	"Grigore T. Popa" University of Medicine And Pharmacy of Iaşi, Romania

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)