

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79512
Title of the Manuscript:	Study about Psychodermatology and Its Therapeutic Effects
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>REVIEW REPORT Thank you for the invitation to review the manuscript " Study about Psychodermatology and Its Therapeutic Effects"</p> <p>The article aimed to „determine if psychological stress has any role to play in onset or progression of skin related diseases or disorders“. To achive this goal, the authors performed a systematic search on Pubmed, Google Scholar, MedLine, as well as some „manual searches“.</p> <p>As the main result of the study the authors reported that „some prevalent skin disorders caused due to psychological stresses are: dermatitis artefacta, skin hypochondriasis, acne, eczema, psoriasis” The conclusion of the study was: “Psychological stress has been linked to the worsening of certain skin conditions.” Highlighting that there are important neuroimmunological findings in skin diseases.</p> <p>Before expressing my opinion on the opportunity of publishing this study, I would like to make a few comments regarding the manuscript content.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Title: Study about Psychodermatology and Its Therapeutic Effects - it is too general and at the same time not clear enough and not well related to the content of the manuscript. 2. There are major inconsistencies between Title and Aim (as expressed in the paper's abstract) 3. In Abstract, the conclusions have been presented before the results. 4. Inside the Manuscript the informations are organised in 5 main sections : 1. Introduction, 2. Psychodermatology of Specific Disorders, 3. Classification of Psychodermatosis, 4. Therapeutic Considerations, and 5. Result. I suggest the construction of a Methodology section in which to describe in detail the steps taken in search of the articles considered in this study, the search criteria, as well as the inclusion and the exclusion criteria. This section should be accompanied by a table or more summarizing the articles included in the study. <p>Regarding to this internal structure of the article it is not clear if the sections 2, 3, 4 are independent, or belong to the section 1 (Introduction), or may be subsections of an unspecified part (e.g. Theoretical findings).</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. There are essential sections that are completely missing: Methodology, Discussions and Conclusions. 	

Review Form 1.6

	<p>6. The informations in the manuscript section have been presented without being accompanied by sufficient citations of references. The sentences are unclear and confusing in many places.</p> <p>7. Last but not least, the purpose of this study was to „determine if psychological stress has any role to play in onset or progression of skin related diseases or disorders“. I suggest that the expression and wording of the goal to be reviewed and eventually to find a new wording that covers the already obvious evidence of the link between some skin diseases and stress.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Cristina Ciuluvica
Department, University & Country	University G.D'Annunzio Chieti-Pescara, Italy