Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79170
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of anti-inflammatory and antioxidant effect of punicalagin (Pomegranate Extract) on IL-1 beta and superoxide dismutase levels in patients with chronic periodontitis – A Randomized Controlled Trial
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and
		highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
A B B B B B B B B B B		his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The first factor of a set of the test decrease of a first set of decrease factor.	
	This is an interesting study to test the potential therapeutic effects of the punicalagin	
	gel in chronic periodontitis. However, the manuscript needs many improvement to be	
	accepted for publication. Some critiques are listed below:	
	English needs to be improved. For instance: Title: "effects" instead of "effect"	
	Abstract: "properties" instead of "property", "parameters" instead of "paramaters", "Supercycles" instead of "SuperCyclede"	
	"Superoxide" instead of "SuperOxiade" Keywords: add "chronic periodontitis"	
	Patient numbers: it was claimed that there were 30 patients in the Abstract; however,	
	in the Material and Methods, there were two group and each group had 30 patients,	
	making the total number of patients to 60, please clarify.	
	IL-1 and SOD levels: how tissue were collected and prepared for these	
	measurements needs to be provided in the Material and Method section.	
	Tables should be cited in the appropriate places of the Results section.	
	Table 2: The results from both groups should be provided and compared. Figures should be described in the Results section.	
	rigures should be described in the Results Section.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		
- Priorital Commonto		

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yunfeng Zhao
Department, University & Country	Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center New Orleans, USA

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)