## **Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79043
Title of the Manuscript:	A comparative study to assess compassion fatigue, burnout and compassion satisfaction among casualty nurses with i hospitals in Vadodara.
Type of the Article	

## General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy)

#### intensive care unit nurses at selected

## Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul> <li>The researcher should add paragraph regarding compassion satisfaction in the introduction because it is a main variable in the research.</li> <li>The researcher should mention the 66-item Compassion Satisfaction/Fatigue Self-Test was adopted or adapted from other researcher, what about the reliability score of this tool?.</li> <li>Why the researcher show the result of compassion fatigue, burnout and satisfaction in table (2) by two ways ( tables and figures). He can use only one of them table or figures.</li> </ul>	his/her teedback here)
	<ul> <li>In table (4.2), the researcher should write in the table "level of burnout" not "level of compassion fatigue" to be matched with the title.</li> <li>The researcher wrote results and discussion, where is the part of discussion?, it should be added.</li> <li>In table (4.1, 4.2, 4.3), the researcher should replace the letter P=21.03 to the table value= 21.03, because letter P indicates to p-value, this number isn't p-value, it is table value as in tables 3.</li> </ul>	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul> <li>Where is the sample size formula that is used to collect 80 nurses?. On what basis, the researcher divided the nurses into two groups (30 casualty nurses and 50 intensive care unit nurses) or the researcher collected all nurses available at the selected unit of the hospital should be mentioned.</li> <li>Why the researcher didn't use <b>spearman correlation</b> between age and level of compassion fatigue , burnout level and compassion satisfaction to identify type of relation(direct or indirect relation) and its strength( strong or weak relation) instead of chi-square.</li> <li>Why the researcher didn't use <b>spearman correlation</b> between years of nurses experiences and level of compassion fatigue , burnout level and compassion satisfaction to identify type of relation(direct or indirect relation) instead of chi-square.</li> <li>Why the researcher didn't use <b>spearman correlation</b> between years of nurses experiences and level of compassion fatigue , burnout level and compassion satisfaction to identify type of relation(direct or indirect relation) and its strength( strong or weak relation) instead of chi-square.</li> <li>What about mean and standard deviation of nurse's age. It preferable to be added.</li> <li>In table (3.1). Number of standard deviation in the ICU nurses is nearest to the number of mean in relation to compassion fatigue, it should be check again.</li> </ul>	
Optional/General comments	<ul> <li>In the procedure, the researcher can add the data collection from the nurses, collected on individually basis or by all the group of nurses in the same time. The researcher can also add time of data collection from nurses.</li> </ul>	

# eed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and anuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

## PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with the transmission of the transmission of the manuscript. It is man feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Heba Ahmed Mohammed Ahmed
Department, University & Country	Cairo University, Egypt

## th reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight andatory that authors should write his/her