# **Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79043
Title of the Manuscript:	A comparative study to assess compassion fatigue, burnout and compassion satisfaction among casualty nurses with in hospitals in Vadodara.
Type of the Article	Research Paper

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul> <li>Significance of the study should be clearer. The author to provide a clear view on the study beneficiaries and its justification.</li> <li>Too many research objectives. The author should revise the study objectives, ideal research objectives should be 3-4.</li> <li>Hypothesis: The author to revise the hypothesis to be in accordance with the study objectives as recommended above.</li> <li>Exclusion criteria are missing. Add it</li> <li>Instrumentation: The author to clearly indicate the type of tools used for data collection i.e. questionnaires, interviews, checklists etc., not the study variables. The study variables to be included in the section of dependent and independent variables</li> </ul>	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul> <li>Procedure: Information written in this section is part of ethical approval. The author should provide a clear guideline on the procedure of collecting data using the tools.</li> <li>Add authors name</li> </ul>	
Optional/General comments	A good well written paper. Acceptable for publication after working on the above comments.	

### intensive care unit nurses at selected

# ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

# Review Form 1.6

# PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with that part in the manuscript. It is manuscript. It is manuscript. It is manuscript.
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Dennis Munene Miriti
Department, University & Country	Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology, Kenya

vith reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight mandatory that authors should write his/her