

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78873
Title of the Manuscript:	Periodontal Health in Sickle Cell Disease - A Case Control Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript submitted for review is a relatively good presentation of dental health in Sickle Cell Disease that affects the population of a particular region of India.</p> <p>In general, the manuscript has line and is comprehensive, but some corrections are needed. The ones in the abstract I will specify below and the ones in the main text I will attach as a comment document</p> <p>In Abstract section, please correct An hereditary with A hereditary</p> <p>Also, the paragraph For the sake of maintaining group homogeneity, participants in the healthy group were either related to or friends of those with sickle cell disease, whereas those in the sickle cell trait group were related to those with sickle cell illness – belongs to Material and method section.</p> <p>Most sections of the manuscript meet the academic editing criteria in addition to the required corrections.</p> <p>The manuscript discusses a fairly common health problem in that population and raises some suggestions for future research.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Stan Florin Gheorghe
Department, University & Country	University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, Cluj Napoca