

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78828
Title of the Manuscript:	FACE MASK - BENEFITS AND RISKS DURING THE COVID 19 AMONG OUTPATIENTS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalprijournal.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- This is a good study. The author should clearly state the importance of their research and their contribution to this research- I suggest you remove the study limitation in the introduction and move it to the conclusion.- In the method section, how come you ended up or determined 100 samples?- What are the 12 questions consisting of?- Are there any criteria for the adolescent?- How did you reach the respondent?- Did the instrument be tested before being used in this survey?- The presentation of the result is not appropriate in this version. I suggest you make it table and make it in the compact result.- In the result section, you said you used the chi-square test, but it is not presented in the result as a table.- What association you were sought? What is dependent and independent in your study?- The ethical clearance should be articulated	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sulistyawati
Department, University & Country	Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Indonesia