

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78745
Title of the Manuscript:	Study on Dynamic Balance in College students with Flat foot and with Normal Arched Foot using Y- Balance Test
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Reframe the abstract with more precise statements.</li><li>2. The research question, why the researcher is interested for this study, is not properly visible in the introduction part.</li><li>3. Aim and objective of the study is not clear properly.</li><li>4. Lack of strong controlled methodological backup has been found in the manuscript. It should be more developed.</li><li>5. What were the criteria for differentiation between 'flatfoot and normal arched foot", please justify in the manuscript.</li><li>6. Mention the details of statistical analysis procedures.</li><li>7. Deficiency of scientific explanations against each result is found in the manuscript and technically more detailed information should be given under each outcomes.</li><li>8. Mention the study limitations in the separate heading.</li></ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anup De
Department, University & Country	Visva-Bharati University, Santiniketan, India