

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78711
Title of the Manuscript:	Causes and management of acute Pyelonephritis
Type of the Article	Narrative Review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalipri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>This article is about a narrative review, a type of article that has become obsolete given its dubious methodology when choosing the references for the preparation of the article. How to know that they are recent and good quality articles? This article lacks methodology, For example, when reading the article carefully, it is striking that despite having 20 references, reference number 1 is the most cited, which suggests that this article is not giving us new information on this topic.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- The abstract corresponds to the first lines of the introduction, without even worrying about changing the wording.- The redaction is quite questionable, sentences are repeated, for example in one paragraph they talk about the symptoms of the disease and in another paragraph they talk about them again or in the last paragraph of the introduction they talk about the epidemiology of the disease and later there is all a section on the epidemiology of the disease. Likewise, unfinished ideas were seen, for example, in the abstract when talking about pharmacological therapy.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jaime Perez
Department, University & Country	Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, Colombia