

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78702
Title of the Manuscript:	Diagnosis of colon carcinoma and endovascular approach in the treatment of patients with colorectal cancer metastases
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	In my opinion the paper needs major revision. 1)It is not clear which is the aim of the paper in the abstract and in the main text. 2)Introduction is too long. Diagnosis and everything the authors write, in my opinion offers nothing to the significance of the paper. 3)No tables at all in the paper. Tables with characteristics of the patients would help 4) Discussion too short. The authors should had compare their results with data from other studies 5)The structure of the paper is not correct.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Some corrections regarding data for pts with colorectal cancer need to be corrected. As an example in page 5 where authors write: "The life expectancy of patients with colon carcinoma with metastases to the liver or other vital organs does not exceed six months or a year." is not correct as this might apply only in patients who are not receiving chemotherapy.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	English language is generally OK but could be better. The same appears for the terminology used.As an example" Colon carcinoma, which is also called colorectal colon cancer," is not correct as it is different colon from colorectal cancer	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Joseph Sgouros
Department, University & Country	“Agii Anargiri” General and Anticancer Hospital, Greece