Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JPRI_78695**
Title of the Manuscript:	**CASE REPORT ON WILM'S TUMOR IN CHILDREN**
Type of the Article	**Case study**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljamps.com/index.php/JAMPS/editorial-policy)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**1. Authors must specify the purpose of their manuscript in the introduction** **2. Standardize the use of percentage: either "%" or "percentage"** **3. Repetition in the introduction should be avoided: "It's also known as nephroblastoma" and "Nephroblastoma is another name for it"; "The condition is usually unilateral, although in 5% to 10% of cases, both kidneys are affected" and "Wilms tumor usually affects only one kidney. but it may also affect both kidneys at the same time »** **4. The diagnosis should be removed from the part reserved for the identification of the patient** **5. In the title "present medical history" it is necessary to complete the duration of evolution of the symptoms before admission. Was the patient on treatment at the time of the onset of this symptomatology?** **6. In the title "past medical history": on what date was the diagnosis of wilms tumor made in the patient? it would be better to say "our patient". In this paragraph the authors must specify the diagnosis, the treatment carried out, the evolution and results obtained until discharge from the hospital. All of this must be part of past history.** **7. The information contained in the paragraph "etiology" is not data of your patients and has no place there. They could be used for discussion** **8. The information in the paragraph "Diagnostic assessment" is for what time?: the first admission during which the diagnosis was made or at the current admission. This is only the results of the complete blood count. Authors should specify all investigations and results (radiological type and stage of tumor)** **9. The information in the paragraph "Therapeutic Intervention" is for what time?: the first admission during which the diagnosis was made or at the current admission. Authors should specify all treatments performed at the time of diagnosis and at current admission** **10. Authors need to reorganize the informations and make it clearer. They must specify what is the particularity of this case of wilms tumor and the diagnosis at the current admission (is it a complication?)** **11. the presentation of reference [8] data in the discussion needs to be reviewed. The authors gave the impression that these data were theirs by saying that "in this review... »** **12. the conclusion is too long and includes general information. It must be related to the case presented in the manuscript and the authors must tell the crucial information to be retained from their clinical case** **13. authors must reorganize the abstract and respect the plan: introduction, case presentation, conclusion"**	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Missoki Azanlédji Boume***
Department, University & Country	***Université De Kara, Togo***