Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JPRI_78519**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Telemedicine during Covid-19 Pandemic in Saudi Arabia:Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons' Knowledge and Implementation**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Abstract** - Since oral and maxillofacial surgeon come under the larger discipline of dentistry, its better to use the term Teledentistry rather than telemedicine in the title. - Abstract part needs more content in the materials and method part. - Use t not the T for the expression of independent t test values in the brackets - Rewrite the complete abstract since there are lot of grammatical and sentence issues. **Introduction** -Introduction part is well written; however, authors should explicitly mention about the need for the study and intended benefits of the study. **Methodology** **-**Authors have mentioned the ethical clearance for the study. -Authors did mention how they calculated the sample size and the response rate provided is very poor. -Questionnaire development, reliability and validity statistics is not mentioned. -Questionnaire administration is not explained in depth. -In general author should follow the CHERRY's check list for web-based surveys **Results** Results are presented as mean and standard deviations, since data has shown non-normal distribution, it would be preferable to present them as mean ranks or medians. **Discussion** Authors should mention about the limitations of the study. Conclusion The study findings should correlate with the conclusion.	
Minor REVISION comments	English editing is required to have a proper meaning of several sentences in the manuscript	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Mohammad Abdul Baseer**
Department, University & Country	**Riyadh Elm University, Saudi Arabia**