

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78289
Title of the Manuscript:	Fixed-Dose iCombination of Dipeptidyl Peptidase-4 Inhibitors Plus Metformin in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes: A Review on Safety and Efficacy
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

**PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>The introduction is clear and all the objectives well stated. At the same time, it would be beneficial for the reader if authors include an extended previous literature review to have a complete picture of the state-of-art. In this sense, I miss the role of AI and machine learning in the treatment and management of diabetes.</p> <p>Rodríguez-Rodríguez, I., Rodríguez, J. V., Woo, W. L., Wei, B., &amp; Pardo-Quiles, D. J. (2021). A Comparison of Feature Selection and Forecasting Machine Learning Algorithms for Predicting Glycaemia in Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus. <i>Applied Sciences</i>, 11(4), 1742. <a href="https://doi.org/10.3390/app11041742">https://doi.org/10.3390/app11041742</a></p> <p>The paper can be improved in terms of analytical model. Explain a little bit more in details what are the advantages/disadvantages of your proposal.</p> <p>The paper could be improved in terms of application examples to other situations. Please provide some real applications in which your model would improve the current applications.</p> <p>Please highlight the limitations of your proposal.</p> <p>Future improvements/works could have been more discussed. Please increase this point.</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b><i>Ignacio Rodríguez-Rodríguez</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Universidad de Málaga, Spain</i></b>