Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78131
Title of the Manuscript:	Arthroscopic Management and Treatment of Synovial Chondromatosis with loose bodies in knee joint
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should
		write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
	1. In the abstract section: Can you clarify on the statement "Investigations were done" - Be specific what kind of investigations were done - blood markers, radiological work up?	
	2. In Fig.2 can you add legends describing key findings in MRI cuts.	
	3. Discusssion part: Sentence incomplete. " Multiple irregular loose bodies [24]	
	4. Can you explain in detail the pros and cons of arthroscopy in treating synovial chondromatosis; especially with large loose bodies	
	5. When will you recommend open arthrotomy and debridement?	
	6. Whats the followup period of your case. Is the patient asymptomatic after surgery? Any recurrences.	
	7. Any Histopathology images to confirm synovial chondromatosis of your case available? If it so you can add it	
Optional/General comments		
<u>L</u>		

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Karthick S R
Department, University & Country	PGIMER, India

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)